FOR MYSORE STATE

11-10 50.

P. W. (195 3) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 4704

Dated 21st December 1953

Passed
Kow
Sanpat
24/12/10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Assembly Question - Communications - Bridges across Payaswami river at Thekkil - Particulars regarding sanctioned amount, increase in cost and reasons for increase - Papers recorded. Bridges-S.kanara Dist.

CNo 620/PA/53

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

1-7-1953.

STARRED

SRI MELOTH NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No. 2690:- Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) when the Thekkil Bridge across the Payaswani river in Kasargode Taluk, South Kanara District was sanctioned;

(b) the amount sanctioned;

(c) whether the sanctioned amount was increased later for any reason;

(d) if so, by how much and for what reasons; and

(e) at what stage the work is at present?

K.B.2/7

P.W(A2)

Advance copy may be circulated to M (P.W).

7.7.53

Ken
7.753

T. Ramanial
8/7/53
A.S. P.W.D.

N. Ramakrishna Reddi
11.7.53
M (P.W)

Cin
6/8/7

SECRETARY TO GOVT. 11 JUL 1953 PUBLIC

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 11 JUL 1953 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

3

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

1-7-1953.

STARRED

SRI MELOTH NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

*2620:- Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) when the Thekkil Bridge across the Payaswani river in Kasargod Taluk, South Kanara District was sanctioned;

(b) the amount sanctioned;

(c) whether the sanctioned amount was increased later for any reason;

(d) if so, by how much and for what reasons; and

(e) at what stage the work is at present?

E.D.2/7

Note for Supplementaries at pp 9-10 infra.

b

17

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

1-7-1953.

STARRED.

SRI MELOTH NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

.....

Question No.2690.

Draft Answer.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) when the Thekkil Bridge across the Payaswani river in Kasargode Taluk, South Kanara District was sanctioned;;

p 9

February 1948. pp13-14 of JP

(b) the amount sanctioned;

Rs.5.50 lakhs. pp5-8 of JP

(c) whether the sanctioned amount was increased later for any reason;

Yes. p1 of E

(d) if so, by how much and for what reasons; and

Rs 10.10
5.50
4.60

By Rs.4.60 lakhs for the following reasons:-

(1) Modifications in foundations on the basis of actual borings,

(2) ~~Adoption of current~~ Increase in the schedule of rates for 1948-49 over the rates for 1947-48,

para 2 p1 of 1 (E)

Answered on 30/7/53

30/7/53

p.t.o

(3) Increase in cost of cement and steel, and

para 2 p 14 (E) (4) Adoption of local rates for special kinds of work for which labour had to be imported from outside.

(e) at what stage the work is at present?

Papers not put up

The work is in progress and is expected to be completed before the end of 1953.

V. de x v p. 4 n f.

20/7/53

20.7.53

22/7/53

To

The Sectt. of the Madras Legislature (Assembly Section) 5 copies.
The Public (Elections).
The P.A. to M (P.W.D.
with Note for Supplementaries.
(para 2 pp 3-4 n f) 2 cys
P.W.D. U.O.No. 62019A/53-1
22/7/53

18.7.53

Kow 18-7-1953

(The answer has been approved by the Minister for Public Works)

Note for Supplementaries 1 AF/59-10 in pm

7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SECRETARIAT OF THE LEGISLATURE
(Assembly Secretariat).

SRI MOHAMMAD HANIFULLAH SAHIB, M.L.A.,
1-7-1952.

* * * * * *

STARRED QUESTION No.2699.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) when the Thaikkil Bridge across the Payanmani river in Ko-rangadu Taluk, South Kanara District was sanctioned,

(b) the amount sanctioned;

(c) whether the sanctioned amount was increased later for any reason,

(d) if so, by how much and for what reasons; and

(e) at what stage the work is at present.

PUBLIC (SPL. IRR. CELL).

ANSWER.

pp13-14 cf/(P) (a) February 1948.

pp5-8 cf/(P) (b) Rs.6.60 Lakhs.

1 cf/(E) (c) Yes.

(d) By Rs.4.69 Lakhs for the following reasons:-

(1) Modifications in foundations on the basis of actual borings.

(2) Increase in the schedule of rates for 1948-49 over the rates for 1947-48.

form 2 p1 cf/(E) (3) Increase in the cost of cement and steel, and

(4) Adoption of local rates for special kinds of work for which labour had to be imported from outside.

(e) The work is in progress and is expected to be completed before the end of 1953.

(K. [illegible]),
For Secretary to Government.

(The answer has been approved by the Minister for Public Works.)

To

The Secretariat of the Madras Legislature (Assembly Section).
The Public (Sections).
The Personal Assistant to Minister (Public Works).

S.O.D.(L.C.) Note No.6[illegible] A/[illegible]-1.
dated 22nd July, 19[illegible].

[illegible].

R29

NOTE FOR SUPPLEMENTARIES.

In G.O.No.500, Public Works dated 14-2-1948, the Government sanctioned an estimate for Rs.5.50 lakhs for constructing a bridge across the Payaswani river at Thekkil in Mile 52/8 of the Kalladka - Kanhangad Road in Kasargod taluk, South Kanara district. Subsequently in November 1948 the Chief Engineer (Highways) submitted a reivsed estimate for Rs.10.10 lakhs for this work. He reported that the increase in the estimated cost of the work was due to the following reasons:-

(1) Foundations had to be modified on the basis of actual borings;

(ii) The increase in the current schedule of rates for 1948-49 over the rates of 1947-48;

(iii) The increase in the cost of cement from Rs.87--0--0 to Rs.92--0--0 and in the cost of steel from Rs.455/- per ton to Rs.520/- per ton inclusive of all leads;

(iv) Due to the adoption of local rates, for special kinds of work for which labour had to be imported from outside areas.

The approximate amount of increase in cost under each of the four heads mentioned above was reported as follows:-

(1) Due to modifications in foundations ...	Rs.70,000

10

-2-

		Rs.
(2)	Due to adoption of current schedule of rates	2,09,000
(3)	Due to the increased cost of cement and steel.	32,000
(4)	Due to the adoption of local rates for special kinds of work, for which labour had to be imported from outside.	79,000
		Rs.3,90,000

K on p15 cf (E)

The remaining increase in cost, namely Rs.70,000/- was due to the increased provisions under unforeseen items, petty supervision charges and major supervision consequent on the increased cost of work - Vide items Nos.43 to 45 at page 13 c.f. of G.O.Ms.No.1679, P.W. d/11-5-1949 at (E) and Nos.33 to 35 of the original estimate at p.8 c.f. of G.O.500 P.W. d/14-2-1948.

M on p15 cf (E)

(P)

The revised estimate for Rs.10.10 lakhs for the work submitted by the Chief Engineer was approved in G.O.Ms.No.1679, P.W. d/11-5-1949.

p17-18 cf (E)

The Chief Engineer has reported, in connection with a Legislative Assembly question given notice by Sri M.S.Morgral, M.L.A. regarding the Thekkil bridge, that the estimate for the work was technically sanctioned by him for Rs.10.10 lakhs, that the work was commenced on 24-1-1950, that an expenditure of Rs.7,52,225 was incurred on it to the end of February 1953 and that the work was likely to be completed by the middle of 1953-54.

P/s not put up

True copy.

P.T.O.

Superintendent

62019

1

Madras Legis. 1-3—5,006—23-10-52.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
GOVERNMENT ESTATE, MOUNT ROAD,
Madras, dated the 6/7/1953.

QUESTION No. 2690 for the next meeting of the Legislative Assembly ~~to be held on the~~ ~~19~~.

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Public Works Department.

The question has been admitted.

K.S. 6/7/53

6/7/53

To

The Public Works Department.

R. O. No. 6328/53-13 M.C.
6-7-53.

P.W.(A-2)

Please see the Legislative Assembly question on p.1.c.f. The question is starred. Supplementary questions may, therefore, be expected.

2. In G.O.No.500, P.W. d/14-2-1948, the Government sanctioned an estimate for Rs.5.50 lakhs for constructing a bridge across the Payaswami river at Thekkil in Mile 52/8 of the Kallaṭka - Kanhangad road in Kasargod taluk, South Kanara district. Subsequently in November 1948 the Chief Engineer (Highways) submitted a revised estimate for Rs.10.10 lakhs for this work. He reported that the increase in the estimated cost of the work was due to the following reasons:-

(1) Foundations had to be modified on the basis of actual borings;

(ii) The increase in the current schedule of rates for 1948-49 over the rates of 1947-48;

(iii) The increase in the cost of cement from Rs.87-0-0 to Rs.92-0-0 and in the cost of steel from Rs.455/- per ton to Rs.520/- per ton inclusive of all leads;

(iv) Due to the adoption of local rates, for special kinds of work for which labour had to be imported from outside areas.

The approximate amount of increase in cost under each of the four heads mentioned

above was reported as follows:-

	Rs.
(1) Due to modifications in foundations. . .	70,000
(2) Due to adoption of current schedule of rates-	2,09,000
(3) Due to the increased cost of cement and steel.	32,000
(4) Due to the adoption of local rates for special kinds of work, for which labour had to be imported from outside.	79,000
	3,90,000

K on p15cf (E)

The remaining increase in cost, namely Rs.70,000, was due to the increased provisions under unforeseen items, petty supervision charges and major supervision consequent on the increased cost of work - Vide items Nos.43 to 45 at page 13 c.f. of G.O.Ms. No.1679, P.W. d/11-5-1949 at (E).& Nos. 33 to 35 of the original estimate at p.8cf of G.O.500PW dt.14-2-48

M on p15cf (E)

- 135021-
64429
70542

1-(P)

The revised estimate for Rs.10.10 lakhs for the work submitted by the Chief Engineer was approved in G.O.Ms.No.1679, P.W. d/11-5-1949.

pp17-18cf (E)

The Chief Engineer has reported, in connection with a Legislative Assembly question given notice by Sri M.S.Morgral, M.L.A. regarding the Thekkil bridge, that the estimate for the work was technically sanctioned by him for Rs.10.10 lakhs; that the work was commenced on 24-1-1950, that an expenditure of Rs.7,52,225 was incurred on it to the end of February 1953 and that the work ~~is~~ likely to be completed by the middle of 1953-54.

Separate file (Cms.30473A/53) Not found up

X

p.t.o

3. Based on the position set out in paragraph 2 a draft answer is submitted. (Paragraph 2 may go in as Note for Supplementaries)

4. The file may be circulated to M (P.W) for approval of the draft answer.

18.7.53
Kuw
18-7-53.

20/7/53

Kuw V. Venkatarayudu
20.7.53

M(P.W) N. Kunzru Ladu
21.7.53

Mp5-6q (Issued as U.O.No.6209A/53-1 dated 22-7-53)

The question on p1 eq. was answered in the Assembly on 30-7-53. Printed proceedings may be awaited till 20.9.53

30.7.53
Kuw
30-7-53

31/7/53

PTO

6/

6201 9/53

Construction of the Thekkil bridge, Kasaragod taluk.

* 1317 *Q.*—SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) when the Thekkil Bridge across the Payaswami river in Kasargod taluk, South Kanara district, was sanctioned;

(*b*) the amount sanctioned;

(*c*) whether the sanctioned amount was increased later for any reason;

(*d*) if so, how much and for what reasons; and

(*e*) at what stage the work is at present?

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI:—"(*a*) February 1948.

"(*b*) Rs. 5·50 lakhs.

"(*c*) **Yes.**

"(*d*) By Rs. 4·60 lakhs for the following reasons:—

(1) Modifications in foundations on the basis of actual borings;

(2) Increase in the schedule of rates for 1948–49 over the rates for 1947–48;

(3) Increase in the cost of cement and steel; and

(4) adoption of local rates for special kinds of work for which labour had to be imported from outside.

"(*e*) The work is in progress and is expected to be completed before the end of 1953."

Pages 1829-30 of Vol IX No. 15 Dated 30-7-53

—:—

The printed copy of the proceedings of the Assembly extracted above may be read. No further action is called for in this file. The papers may be recorded in a G.O. for reference.

R 18.12.53
Kuw 18/12/53

M 19.12.53

62/21.12

1/2

G.O. Ms No. 4704 Dated 21.12.53

Abstract

L.A. Qn— Comns— Bridges — S. Kanara Dt— Bridge across Payasvami river at Thekkil — Particulars regarding sanctioned amount, increase in cost and reasons for increase — Papers recorded

R 18/12/53
kuw 18/12/53

M 19.12.53